[22nd July 1957

SRI K. VINAYAKAM: On a point of order, Sir. It is very difficult to catch your eyes. I have been rising in my seat but could not manage to catch your eyes. Your eyes are the most elusive organs that God has ever created on the face of this earth. What is the *modus operandi* that you would suggest to enable me to catch your eyes?

MR. SPEAKER: Please stand up as often as you can. (Laughter.) Some time, you will catch my eye.

Government Transport buses in Kanyakumari district

* 176 Q.—SRI T. T. DANIEL: Will the Hon. the Minister for Industries be pleased to state—

(*a*) the number of Government Transport buses plying in Kanyakumari district at present; and

(*b*) how many of them are new buses?

THE HON. SRI R. VENKATARAMAN: (*a*) The fleet strength of the Kanyakumari Branch of the Madras State Transport Department is 76. On an average 53 buses are plying daily on the roads.

(*b*) Twelve.

SRI T. T. DANIEL: Are the Government aware that many of the buses that are now plying in Kanyakumari district are in a very bad condition? Will they be pleased to go into the desirability of replacing these buses with new ones and also starting a workshop there so that the buses can be repaired then and there?

THE HON. SRI R. VENKATARAMAN: We have put 12 new buses into operation and in the course of the month, we will be sending another 12 to Kanyakumari district. We have proposals in this Budget for starting a workshop in Nagerkoil.

Block Development Scheme

* 177 Q.—SRI K. SATTANATHA KARAYALAR (on behalf of Sri N. K. Palanisami): Will the Hon. the Chief Minister be pleased to state—

(*a*) the total amount spent on Tiruppur, Kangayam and Palladam in the year 1956–57 under the Block Development Scheme; and

(*b*) out of this, the expenditure incurred on the administrative department towards salaries of the officials and other expenses (with detailed figures for the various items of expenditure)?

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM: (*a*) Tiruppur Community Development Block—Rs. 2,76,350–6–11, Kangayam Community Development Block—Rs. 2,92,462–11–9, and Palladam Community Development Block—Rs. 2,13,722–1–0.

22nd July 1957]

(*b*)—

Details of Expenditure. (1)	*Tiruppur. C.D. Block.* (2) RS.	A.	P.	*Kangayam. C.D. Block.* (3) RS.	A.	P.	*Palladam. C.D. Block.* (4) RS.	A.	P.
Pay of Officer	2,760	0	0	2,951	10	0	2,343	4	0
Pay of Establishment ..	26,204	0	0	26,018	4	0	30,571	12	0
Dearness allowance	11,204	1	0	11,341	1	0	13,074	12	0
Travelling and other compensatory allowance. ..	14,584	2	0	13,738	5	0	14,315	3	0
Training allowance to Social Education Organiser	238	11	0	181	10	0	176	11	0
	54,990	14	0	54,230	14	0	60,481	10	0

Sri K. G. PALANISWAMI GOUNDER : இப்போது நிர்வாகச் செலவு அதிகமாக இருக்கிறது. ஆகவே அதைக் குறைத்து, திட்டங்களுக்கு அதிகப் பணத்தை ஒதுக்க அரசாங்கம் முன் வருமா ?

The Hon. Sri C. SUBRAMANIAM : இப்போது கொடுத்த புள்ளி விவரங்களிலிருந்து உத்தியோகஸ்தர்களுக்காக ரூ. 54,0c0 அல்லது ரூ. 55,000 செலவழிக்கப்படும்போது, மற்றெல்லா செலவுகளுக்கும் சேர்த்து சுமார் ரூபாய் மூன்று லட்சம் (மூன்று லட்சத்திற்குப் பக்கமாக) செலவு செய்யப்படுகிறது. ஆகையால் உத்தியோகஸ்தர்களுக்காக அதிகம் செலவு செய்யப்படுகிறது என்று சொல்வது சரியல்ல.

Rice mills

* 178 *Q.*—Sri M. SELVARAJAN (on behalf of Sri S. N. Annamalai) : Will the Hon. the Minister for Home be pleased to state the action taken on the mahzar submitted by the public of Kalambur in North Arcot district on 5th April 1957 regarding grant of licence to K. P. Govindaraja Mudaliar Rice Mill?

The Hon. Sri M. BHAKTAVATSALAM : The matter is under consideration by the local officers.

* 179 *Q.*—Sri K. SATTANATHA KARAYALAR (on behalf of Sri A. R. Subbiah Mudaliar) : Will the Hon. the Minister for Home be pleased to state—

(*a*) whether the Government have issued any order prohibiting the issue of licences for the starting of new rice mills;

(*b*) if so, the date from which this order has been in force;

(*c*) the number of new rice mills, which have been exempted from the operation of this order till the end of March 1957, with the reasons therefor; and

(*d*) whether the fee for the licence issued under the "Food Control Act" has been reduced from Rs. 25 to Re. 1 and if so the reasons therefor?

The Hon. Sri M. BHAKTAVATSALAM : A reply [a] is placed on the table of the House.

[a] Printed as Appendix I on page 193 infra.